

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/860,448	06/03/2004	Nooshin D. Vakilian	600456-0018 B75352C	9107	
20594	7590 04/07/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
AKIN GUMP STRAUSS HAUER & FELD, LLP P O BOX 688			AFSHAR, KAMRAN		
DALLAS, TX 75313-0688		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,			2681		

DATE MAILED: 04/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/860,448	VAKILIAN, NOOSHIN D.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner /	Art Unit				
	Kamran Afshar, 571-272-7796	2681				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	33 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims		·				
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers		,				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 March 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	eu.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>6/3/2004</u> .	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/860,448

Art Unit: 2681

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Omum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 21-38 ARE rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-17 of U.S. Patent No. 6,754,471 B1. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they both basically claim the same subject matter which includes: 1) A wireless transceiver that receives a signal, the wireless transceiver comprising: a surface acoustic wave filter die that receives a signal and that filters the signal; and the surface acoustic wave filter die is mounted in a flip chip configuration on an integrated substrate, and a passivation layer is deposited on an active region of the surface acoustic wave filter die prior to the surface acoustic wave filter die being mounted on the integrated substrate, 2) multi-chip module comprising: a surface acoustic wave filter die; a passivation layer deposited on the surface acoustic wave filter die prior to the surface acoustic wave filter die being mounted on an integrated substrate; a surface mounted circuitry, the surface mounted circuitry comprises a switching circuitry; a chip on board circuitry, the chip on-board circuitry comprises a low noise amplifier die and a mixer die; and wherein each of the surface mounted circuitry and the chip on-board circuitry is mounted on the integrated substrate, 3) A method to package a surface acoustic wave (SAW) filter die, the method comprising: passivating an active region of the surface acoustic wave filter die; mounting the surface acoustic wave filter die on a plurality of contact pads on a substrate using a plurality of solder balls; and encapsulating the surface acoustic wave filter die on the substrate.

Application/Control Number: 10/860,448

Art Unit: 2681

A1.

Claim(s) 1-17 of patent # 6,754,471 B1 contain(s) every element of claim(s) 21-38 of the instant application and as such anticipate(s) claim(s) 21-38 of the instant application.

"A later patent claim is not patentably distinct from an earlier patent claim if the later claim is obvious over, or **anticipated by**, the earlier claim. <u>In re Longi</u>, 759 F.2d at 896, 225 USPQ at 651 (affirming a holding of obviousness-type double patenting because the claims at issue were obvious over claims in four prior art patents); <u>In re Berg</u>, 140 F.3d at 1437, 46 USPQ2d at 1233 (Fed. Cir. 1998) (affirming a holding of obviousness-type double patenting where a patent application claim to a genus is anticipated by a patent claim to a species within that genus). " ELI LILLY AND COMPANY v BARR LABORATORIES, INC., United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, ON PETITION FOR REHEARING EN BANC (DECIDED: May 30, 2001).

Conclusion

- 3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - a) Suthers (U.S. Patent 4,814,658), which discloses SAW Device with Continuous Finger Pattern.
 - b) East (U.S. Patent 4,684,841), which discloses SAW Devices Including Resistive Films.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Kamran Afshar whose telephone number is (571) 272-7796. The examiner can be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by the telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Emmanuel Moise** can be reached @ (571) 272-3865. The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306 for all communications.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through

Art Unit: 2681

Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kamran Afshar

EMMANUEL L. MOIT
PRINTING